Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that provides a staff security officer to a DOD Office for a national intelligence collection program

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 1

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Based upon request of the NRO Office   August 20, 1962

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No_____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the U. S. Government because it is protection of sources and methods

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No_____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No_____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_____ No_____
    If so, how?

    Probably being done as well as can be at present.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes_____ No X   If so, which component and why?

    It has to do with Compartmentation & SSC has the knowledge to guide the community.
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes_____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes_____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component responsible
for security policy related to the conduct
of two DOD/CIA national intelligence collec-
tion programs

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 2

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
    2 May 1962 Agreement between DOD & CIA
    19 August 1969 Agreement between Sec. Def. & DCI

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
    Program administrators who need advice and guidance
    re. Security procedures to be used.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?
    SSC has the expertise in the Compartmented Field

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
provides security advisors in the COMIREX
and Sigint/Sors proceedings

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 3   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
    Memo for Deputy Director of Security from OS Exec. Officer
    (SIGINT/SORS) Historical Precedence goes back to 1956 (no reference
    for COMIREX) 17 January 1970, 1956
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
    Members of SIGINT/SORS & COMIREX and U. S. Gov't as secure
    procedures are mandatory

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes___ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes___ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes___ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes___ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes___ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security is required to provide the services of two security officers (One who is Chairman) to the USIB Security Committee's Subcommittee on Compartmentation

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 4   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

     Minutes of November SECOM Meeting dated 2 December 1974
        SECOM M-165 dtd 2 December 1974

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
       SECOM/USIB

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No____
   If so, how?   This job doesn't relate to agency security
     it relates to U.S.'s security

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: that
provides an officer to serve as the
Talent Security Officer for the Intelligence
Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 5   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Aug 1969 (Responsibilities of the TALENT Control Officer, CIA)
Dir of Security is TSO-when and how he delegated responsibility to SSC
not known. However a new TK Manual is being prepared which does Name a spec
ific TSO
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
provides an officer to serve as the
25X1A [   ] Security and Control Officer for
the Intelligence Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 6   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
[   ] Control System Manual P. 2 Para B of V   21 July 1966

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
The Intelligence Community And private
contractors involved in [   ] projects   25X1A
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security components responsible for providing the services of a Security Officer to effect day-to-day interpretation of SIGINT Security Policy in relation to CIA activities

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 8   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

    Memo dtd 27 January 1970 to Deputy Director of Security from OS Executive Officer

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes _X_   No ____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
    CIA and Community

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes _X_   No ____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes _X_   No ____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No _X_
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____ No _X_
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes ____ No _X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes ____ No _X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____ No _X_
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes ____ No _X_ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security is responsible for participating in the inter and intra-agency committees concerned with the security of sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B 9-   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
    Without being spelled out the Director of Security and Chairman SECOM have asked SSC to participate in these committees because of SSC's knowledge in the compartmented areas. *N/A*
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes____ *N/A* No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

                    N/A
    Beneficiary is any committee which receives SSC expertise and I use the word beneficiary loosely
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____ No_X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
provides security guidance to non-USIB
Agencies related to the safeguarding of
sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 10   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
                                                                      Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
     For COMINT-Communications Intell Security Regs-Annex D dtd Nov 73
     DCID 1/14 for compartmented info (this is very general) dtd 1 Jul 68
     National Security Act of 1947, as amended gives DCI resp. for protectin
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X__   No___ \sources &
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?   \methods

     The Non-USIB Community & ultimately U. S. Govt.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X__
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X__
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No_X__ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X__
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that provides security surveys of non USIB facilities that will be used to house sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, II   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

Missions & functions of the SSC (para 10)   10 August 1972
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?   25X1A
National Security Act of 1947, as amended
for [____] Control System Manual-Section V, para B, dtd July 1966
for TALENT-August 1969 - Responsibilities of the TALENT Control Officer, CIA
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No ____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

All companies, agencies and orgs that require storage   25X1A
facilities for [____] TK info.-

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No ____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes ____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes ____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes ____ No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICAT that
provides security indoctrination to
personnel of nonUSIB Agencies who will
have access to sensitive compartmented
information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 12   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
                                                          Center

EVALUATION: ( )   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
            Missions and Functions of the SSC
            (Para 4)   10 August 1972

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
     All non-USIB Agencies that get involved in the
     Compartmented game

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____   No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____   No X   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 responsible
for accrediting all [   ] facilities as
to Security requirements

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 13   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
    Missions and functions of the SSC (para 9) 10 August 1972
    Section 102(d) National Security Act of 1947.
    [   ] Control System Manual Section V. Para B dtd July 1966   25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes__X__   No____

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?   25X1A
    All [   ] facilities

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes__X__   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes__X__   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____   No__X__
    If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
    to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No__x__
    If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
    More properly? Yes____   No__x__   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
    Watergate? Yes____   No__x__   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
    significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____   No__X__
    If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
    important than this one? Yes____   No__X__   If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office is responsible
for accrediting all TALENT facilities as
to Security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 14   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
                                                    Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
     Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 10 & 11) dtd 10 August 1972
     Section 102(d) Nat'l Security Act. of 1947

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X_   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

     All Talent Facilities

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____   No_X_
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No_X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for accrediting CIA emergency couriers for sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 15   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
     Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 26) dtd 10 Aug 1972
     No other written authorization
     Policy developed from dialogue between those in need & SSC for ex *Ample,*
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No | memo dtd
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?   6/30/71
     Any component in CIA that deals in   "Emergency Couriers"
     compartmented info

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes see below No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?
     *Beneficiaries probably see this requirement as getting in their way
     operationally. But if we didn't do it every Tom, Dick & Harold would
     be carrying compartmented info with him.
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No X   If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No X   If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 responsible
for accrediting all CIA COMINT facilities
as to security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 16   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
                                                                        Center

EVALUATION: ◯   DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
     Communications Intell. Security Regs-Section III-personnel and
     Physical Security. paras 94 & 95   Nov 73
     Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 11)   10 Aug 72
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes X   No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
               All facilities that must store COMINT

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X   No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No X
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 responsible
for participating in the investigation of
all incidents involving the compromise of
sensitive compartmented information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 17   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
                                                         Center

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
     Nat'l Security Act of 1947, Section 102(d) protection of
     Sources & methods
25X1A [   ]Control System Manual, Section V, (para B) dtd July 66

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes_X_ No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
     I suppose generally speaking, investigation of compromise
     enhances security of entire programs, so U. S. Govt benefits

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes__X_ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X_ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
   to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X_
   If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
   More properly? Yes____ No_X_ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
   Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
   significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No____
   If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
   important than this one? Yes____ No____ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Center that serv**es** as the focal point within the CIA for the reporting and investigation of compromises of intelligence sources and methods through their publication in the public news media

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 18   COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center.

EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
    Nat'l Security Act of 1947/Section 102(d)
    Missions and Functions of the SSC (Para 18) dtd 10 August 72
    USIB Memo dtd 7 June 1971 "Public Disclosure of Classified Intell. Info.
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?   Yes__X__ No____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

    The Intell Community

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes__X__ No____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No__X__
  If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
  to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X__
  If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
  More properly? Yes____ No_X__ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
  Watergate? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
  significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X__
  If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
  important than this one? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what?